ABSTRACT

Subacromial impingement is a frequent and painful condition among athletes, particularly those involved in overhead sports such as baseball and swimming. There are generally two types of subacromial impingement: structural and functional. While structural impingement is caused by a physical loss of area in the subacromial space due to bony growth or inflammation, functional impingement is a relative loss of subacromial space secondary to altered scapulohumeral mechanics resulting from glenohumeral instability and muscle imbalance. The purpose of this review is to describe the role of muscle imbalance in subacromial impingement in order to guide sports physical therapy evaluation and interventions.
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INTRODUCTION

According to the late neurologist Vladimir Janda MD, there are 2 approaches to classification of musculoskeletal pathologies: structural and functional.\(^1\)

The structural approach focuses on actual damage to musculoskeletal structures such as rotator cuff tendinitis or a ligament injury. The functional approach examines factors that contribute to structural lesions. This approach is most useful for physical therapy management of chronic ‘dysfunctions’ such as persistent joint pain and tendinitis.

Shoulder impingement accounts for 44 to 65% of shoulder complaints during physician visits.\(^2\) First described by Neer,\(^4\) shoulder impingement has been classified into two main categories: structural and functional. Subacromial impingement can be caused by narrowing of the subacromial space (SAS) resulting from a reduction in the space due to bony growth or soft-tissue inflammation, (“structural”) or superior migration of the humeral head caused by weakness and/or muscle imbalance (“functional”).\(^5\)-\(^8\) It is possible that some subacromial impingement results from a combination of both structural and functional factors.

Subacromial impingement occurs when the structures in the SAS (rotator cuff, biceps tendon long head, and subacromial bursa) become compressed and inflamed under the coracoacromial ligament.\(^9\) The suprasinatus tendon in particular is at highest risk for irritation and subsequent injury because it is the most likely to contact the acromion when the humerus is abducted to 90° and internally rotated 45°.\(^10\)

Patients with impingement have significantly less (–68%, \(p < .05\)) SAS during shoulder elevation compared to the asymptomatic side when measured using MR imaging,\(^11\) even though their SAS is not significantly different from healthy shoulders in the resting anatomical position.\(^12\) When compared to normal subjects, patients with impingement demonstrate more proximal translation of the humeral head during abduction, thus reducing the SAS.\(^6\), \(^13\)

Functional impingement is related to glenohumeral instability\(^14\) and is sometimes described as “functional instability,” occurring mostly in overhead athletes less than 35 years of age.\(^15\) The act of throwing may cause tissues below the coracoacromial arch to be subjected to subtle microtrauma, leading to inflammation and tendinitis.\(^16\)-\(^17\)

The shoulder complex relies on muscles to provide dynamic stability during its large range of mobility. Proper balance of the muscles surrounding the shoulder complex is also necessary for flexibility and strength; a deficit in flexibility or strength in an agonistic muscle must be compensated for by the antagonist muscle, leading to dysfunction. These muscular imbalances lead to changes in arthrokinematics and movement impairments, which may ultimately cause structural damage. Dr. Janda suggested that subacromial impingement results from a characteristic pattern of muscle imbalance including weakness of the lower and middle trapezius, serratus anterior, infraspinatus, and deltoid, coupled with tightness of the upper trapezius, pectorals and levator scapula.\(^1\) This pattern is often referred to as part of Janda’s “Upper Crossed Syndrome.” (See Figure 1)

While structural impingement sometimes requires surgery to alleviate pain, functional instability requires the implementation of precise therapeutic exercises.
with the goal of restoration of normal neuromuscular function. It is important for clinicians to understand the pathomechanics of functional impingement in order to guide appropriate examination, assessment, and intervention, as well as to consider prevention. The purpose of this clinical suggestion is to describe muscle imbalances associated with functional impingement in overhead athletes and to offer suggestions to guide intervention choices and prevention strategies.

**PATHOMECHANICS OF MUSCLE IMBALANCE IN SUBACROMIAL IMPINGEMENT**

Muscle tightness has been implicated in subacromial impingement. In particular, during elevation, anterior shoulder girdle muscle tension may affect the tension on the leading edge of the coracoacromial ligament, predisposing it to tightness ultimately leading to structural impingement. Tightness of the pectoralis major creates an anterior force on the glenohumeral joint with a consequent decrease in stability. A tight pectoralis minor limits scapular upward rotation, external rotation, and posterior tilt, thereby reducing SAS. This alteration in scapular kinematics occurs in three separate planes of movement and differs from scapular kinematics of those with normal muscle length.

Imbalances in glenohumeral rotation range of motion may also contribute to altered shoulder kinematics. Specifically, excessive external rotation leads to increased anterior and inferior translation of the humerus, leading to anterior instability. In contrast, a lack of external rotation due to anterior muscular tightness alters the scapulohumeral rhythm and decreases posterior scapular tilt. Posterior capsular tightness, often demonstrated by a loss of internal rotation, may lead to more superior and anterior translation of the humeral head. This loss of internal rotation is known as glenohumeral internal rotation deficit, or “GIRD,” and is defined as a loss of internal rotation greater than or equal to 20° compared to the contralateral side. GIRD is a relatively new concept in the literature that requires more research regarding its incidence and effects in normal, athletic, and injured populations. Recent evidence suggests that overhead athletes with impingement often display signs and symptoms of GIRD.
connective tissue in patients with shoulder impingement, and it exhibits lower levels of EMG activation in patients with impingement. While it is assumed that these effects on the deltoid are caused by impingement, it is unclear if the deltoid pathology precedes or is a result of impingement.

The rotator cuff is important in maintaining normal humeral head position in the glenoid during elevation (flexion and abduction) movements. The compressive forces of the rotator cuff stabilize the humerus against the glenoid, thereby providing dynamic stabilization of the glenohumeral joint. Weakness of the infraspinatus reduces this compressive force, promoting instability. This instability may lead to functional impingement.

When the dynamic stabilizing forces of the rotator cuff are removed from the glenohumeral joint in a cadaver model, there is a significant increase in superior and anterior migration of the humeral head during elevation, which would lead to impingement. Downward compressive forces of the inferior rotator cuff are necessary to neutralize the upwardly directed shear forces of the deltoid. Without rotator cuff stabilization in cadaveric models, the humeral head migrated 1.7 mm vs. 0.7 mm with rotator cuff stabilization at 60° of abduction, and 2.1 mm vs. 1.4 mm at 90° of abduction. Clearly, while cadaveric models do not accurately reflect the effect of dynamic neuromuscular activation (muscle activation and timing) of glenohumeral and scapulothoracic muscles during glenohumeral kinematics, they may offer some insight into the role of the rotator cuff.

Decreased rotator cuff EMG activity may also contribute to humeral head superior translation during early abduction, leading to impingement. Experimentally-induced fatigue of the rotator cuff leads to superior migration of the humeral head at the initiation of abduction, however, the effects of fatigue experienced after actually participating in an activity (such as repeated throwing) have not been investigated. Since these two studies only assessed scapular plane elevation, it is possible that other muscles may compensate for upward migration of the humeral head during functional activities that occur in planes other than the scapular plane. Few studies have assessed simultaneous rotator cuff EMG and glenohumeral kinematics in patients with impingement, leaving many questions unanswered regarding the exact pathomechanics of impingement.

SCAPULOTHORACIC IMBALANCES
Scapular rotation force couple imbalance leads to altered muscular activation patterns. When studying patients with impingement, most researchers describe an increase in upper trapezius EMG activation coupled with a decrease in activation of the middle trapezius, lower trapezius, and the serratus anterior. Ludewig and Cook hypothesized that the increased lower trapezius activation was an attempt to compensate for decreased serratus anterior activation. In contrast, other researchers have reported increased EMG activation in both the upper and lower trapezius in patients with impingement when compared to normal subjects. Ludewig and Cook hypothesized that the increased lower trapezius activation was an attempt to compensate for decreased serratus anterior activation. Interestingly, Lin et al studied subjects with various types of shoulder dysfunction and found decreased serratus anterior activity and increased upper trapezius activity without a change in lower trapezius activity when compared to normals.
have a significantly higher upper trapezius activation compared to normal subjects, a significant decrease in lower and middle trapezius activation, and altered trapezius muscle balance (See Table 1).

Overhead athletes with impingement have delayed onset of middle and lower trapezius fibers in response to a sudden downward movement. If the lower trapezius reacts too slowly when compared to the upper trapezius, the upper trapezius may become overactive, leading to scapular elevation rather than upward rotation. Freestyle swimmers with impingement are reported to have increased variability in timing of the onset of scapular rotators compared to healthy swimmers. These alterations in activation patterns are often seen bilaterally in patients with chronic tendinosis, supporting a neuromuscular mechanism. Since both painful and non-painful shoulders exhibit altered activation patterns, it is possible that the dysfunction is related to a faulty motor program within the central nervous system (CNS).

CONCLUSIONS
In summary, functional impingement may be associated with muscle imbalance; therefore, careful examination of flexibility and strength of important muscles about the shoulder complex is vital to understanding the root cause of impingement and prescribing effective treatment. Janda’s approach to muscle imbalance suggests a possible neuromuscular component to functional impingement due to the predisposition of certain muscles to be tight or

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Group</th>
<th>Upper Trap Activation</th>
<th>Lower Trap Activation</th>
<th>UT:LT ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Involved side (impingement)</td>
<td>95% MVIC</td>
<td>48% MVIC</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involved side (control)</td>
<td>73% MVIC</td>
<td>62% MVIC</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninvolved side (impingement)</td>
<td>74% MVIC</td>
<td>56% MVIC</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involved side (control)</td>
<td>74% MVIC</td>
<td>62% MVIC</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
weak. The literature substantiates that imbalances in the glenohumeral and scapulothoracic musculature are present in patients with subacromial impingement.

Most believe that functional impingement is best managed with conservative treatment. While structural impingement sometimes requires surgical intervention, surgery for functional impingement may make patients worse. Successful treatment of functional impingement related to muscle imbalance is often accomplished by addressing the cause of the problem rather than symptomatic treatment of the pain. By understanding muscle imbalances associated with functional impingement, physical therapists can prescribe appropriate exercises for both treatment and prevention.
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